

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,957		11/02/2001	Andres Bryant	BUR920010071US1	3893	
23123	7590	08/01/2003				
		EN & WATTS	EXAMINER			
18 E UNIVERSITY DRIVE SUITE # 101				LEWIS, N	LEWIS, MONICA	
MESA, AZ	MESA, AZ 85201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2822		
				DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Applicant(s) OB/82.967 BRYANT ET AL								
Examin r Monica Lewis 2822		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the partied for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are style be timely filed set for the period for reply specified store is less than thirty (30) days, are style with the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified store is less than thirty (30) days, are style with the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified store is less than there communication. If the period for reply specified store is less than there months after the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified store is less than there months after the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is period date, the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is period date than three months after the mailing date of this communication. A prosphyre to communication(s) filed on 10 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Silvare allowed. Claim(s) 3-10 (stare pending in the application. 4 Of the above claim(s) is/are objected to. 3 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The orth or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 Alt by Some	Office Action Summany	<u> </u>						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Education of time may be available under the processions of J CER 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled with the St. of the time may be available under the processions of J CER 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled with the St. of the time of the procession of J CER 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled with the St. of the time of the procession of J CER 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled with the St. of the time of the procession of J CER 1.13(e). If NO garded for reply is specified above, the manifum cataloxy period will apply and will explicit (MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication of the communication of the communication. even if £ many fills of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10 July 2003. 2a □ This action is FINAL. 2b □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) ② I sizer endition is in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) ② I sizer endition is in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) ③ I sizer endition is in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of United St. of the above claim (s) ☐ I sizer endition and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ Claim(s) ③ I sizer endition is accordance to the string of the process of the data of the care the string of the process of	Office Action Summary	Examin r						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a) with a considered timely. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a) with the statutory minimum of thing (30) days will be considered timely. Extensions of the major is period above, the machinum statutory period will apply and vall expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Provision of the statutory maintenance of the statutory maintenance of the communication. Provision of the statutory maintenance of the statutory maintenance of the communication. Provision of the statutory maintenance of the communication of the statutory maintenance of the communication. Provision of the statutory maintenance of the provision of the statutory maintenance of the communication. Provision of the statutory maintenance of the provision of the statutory maintenance of the communication. Provision of the	The MAN INC DATE of this communication and							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extansions of time may be available under the provides of 37 CFR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a rophy be timely filed after 50 (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. **No seeds of the may be available under the provides of 37 CFR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a rophy be timely filed after 50 (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. **Foliave to reply vision the mailing date of this communication. **Foliave to reply vision the set of extended period for reply vill, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any very received by the Office dieth than these mornish after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any secured patient term edispations to the set of CFR 1.76(h). **Status** **This action is FINAL.** **2a) This action is FINAL.** **2b) This action is ron-final. **3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <code>Ex. parte Quayle</code> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** **4 Claim(s) **B-10 is/are pending in the application.** **4 Claim(s) **B-10 is/are allowed.** **Claim(s) **B-20 is/are rejected.** **5 Claim(s) **B-20 is/are rejected.** **5 Claim(s) **B-20 is/are rejected to.** **3 Claim(s) **B-20 is/are rejected to play the Examiner.** **10 The drawing(s) filed on **Oxovember 2001** is/are. a\bar{} accepted or b\bar{} objected to by the Examiner.** **10 The growing(s) filed on **Oxovember 2001** is/are. a\bar{} accepted or b\bar{} objected to by the Examiner.** **11 The proposed drawing correction filed on **_is: a\bar{} approved by the Examiner.** **12 The proposed drawing correction filed on **_is: a\bar{} approved by the Examiner.** **13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).** **13 Acknowledgment is made of a	·	··						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10_July 2003 . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 8_10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 8_20 is/are rejected. 5) Claim(s) 8_22 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 8_22 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a⊠ accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 8-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		lv 2002						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 8-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-932) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, <u> </u>		resocution as to the marits is					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _8-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on _02 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filled on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Fartsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 8-10 is/are pending in the application							
6) Claim(s) 8-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filled on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ▷ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		, , , , ,					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ '							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
·	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal						

Application/Control Number: 09/682,957 Page 2

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed June 10, 2003.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-12 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krivokapic et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,579,750) in view of Houston (U.S. Patent No. 6,045,625).
 In regards to claim 8, Krivokapic discloses the following:
- a) a semiconductor wafer comprising a semiconductor layer (18) (For Example: See Figure 3);
- b) a first recess and a second recess formed through the semiconductor layer and a first layer (16) of the buried insulator (For Example: See Figure 3);
- c) a body formed from the semiconductor layer situated between the first recess and the second recess, the body comprising a top body surface and a bottom body surface that defines a body thickness (For Example: See Figure 3);

Art Unit: 2822

- d) a source structure (34) formed into the first recess, the source structure comprising a source region (For Example: See Figure 11);
- e) a drain region (36) formed into the second recess, the drain structure comprising a drain region (For Example: See Figure 11); and
- f) a top portion of the source structure and a top portion of the drain structure are within and abut the body thickness (For Example: See Figure 11).

In regards to claim 8, Krivokapic fails to disclose the following:

a) a semiconductor layer overlying a buried insulator having at least two layers.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with a semiconductor layer overlying a buried insulator having at least two layers (For Example: See Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Krivokapic to include a semiconductor layer overlying a buried insulator having at least two layers as disclosed in Houston because it aids in eliminating warping (For Example: See Column 1 Lines 49-62).

In regards to claim 9, Krivokapic discloses the following:

a) the first layer of the buried insulator is at least as thick as the semiconductor layer (For Example: See Figure 11).

In regards to claim 10, Krivokapic discloses the following:

a) a semiconductor layer comprises single crystal silicon (For Example: See Figure 3).

In regards to claim 11, Krivokapic fails to disclose the following:

a) the buried insulator comprises three layers, wherein a second layer is different from the first layer and a third layer.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer that has various layers (For Example: See Figure 8c). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2822

the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Krivokapic to include an insulation layer that has various layers as disclosed in Houston because it aids in eliminating warping (For Example: See Column 1 Lines 49-62).

In regards to claim 12, Krivokapic fails to disclose the following:

a) the first layer comprises silicon dioxide, wherein the second layer comprises silicon nitride, wherein the third layer comprises silicon dioxide.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with insulation layers that has silicon dioxide and silicon nitride (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Krivokapic to include an insulation layer that has silicon dioxide and silicon nitride as disclosed in Houston because it aids in providing low capacitance (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-60).

In regards to claim 14, Krivokapic discloses the following:

a) the body comprises a fin structure that comprises a top fin structure surface a bottom fin structure surface that define a fin structure thickness, wherein the top portion of the source structure and the top portion of the drain structure are below said top fin structure, and wherein said source structure and said drain structure abut the fin structure (For Example: See Figure 11).

In regards to claim 15, Krivokapic discloses the following:

- a) a silicon layer on a buried insulator (For Example: See Figure 11);
- b) a first recess and a second recess formed through the semiconductor layer (For Example: See Figure 11); and
- c) a body formed from the semiconductor layer situated between the first recess and the second recess, the body comprising a top body surface and a bottom body surface that defines a body thickness (For Example: See Figure 11).

Art Unit: 2822

In regards to claim 15, Krivokapic fails to disclose the following:

a) a first buried insulator on a second buried insulator different from the first buried insulator layer.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer that has various layers (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Krivokapic to include an insulation layer that has various layers as disclosed in Houston because it aids in eliminating warping (For Example: See Column 1 Lines 49-62).

In regards to claim 16, Krivokapic discloses the following:

a) the first buried insulator layer comprises silicon dioxide (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 45-53).

In regards to claim 17, Krivokapic fails to disclose the following:

a) the second buried insulator layer comprises silicon nitride.

However, Houston discloses a semiconductor with an insulation layer that has silicon nitride (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Krivokapic to include an insulation layer that has silicon nitride as disclosed in Houston because it aids in providing low capacitance (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 43-60).

In regards to claim 18, Krivokapic discloses the following:

a) a transistor (For Example: See Figure 11).

In regards to claim 19, Krivokapic discloses the following:

a) the transistor comprises a source structure and a drain structure in said first recess and said second recess (For Example: See Figure 11).

Application/Control Number: 09/682,957 Page 6

Art Unit: 2822

In regards to claim 20, Krivokapic discloses the following:

a) the transistor further comprises a fin structure (For Example: See Figure 11).

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krivokapic et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 6,579,750) in view of Houston (U.S. Patent No. 6,045,625) and Choi (U.S.

Patent No. 6,383,849).

In regards to claim 13, Krivokapic fails discloses the following:

a) a first recess and a second recess stop on a second layer of the buried insulator.

However, Choi discloses a semiconductor with a recess that stops on an insulator (For Example: See Figure 2e). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Krivokapic to include a recess that stops on an insulator as disclosed in Choi because it aids in improving the thermal conduction characteristics (For Example: See Column 2 Lines 15-43).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica Lewis whose telephone number is 703-305-3743.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722 for regular and after final

Art Unit: 2822

communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ML July 23, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 7